

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/678,693	10/03/2003	William J. Murphy	JJK-0329 (P2002J099) 9950		
27810	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER		
EXXONMOBIL RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY			NGUYEN, TAM M		
P.O. BOX 900 1545 ROUTE 22 EAST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ANNANDALE, NJ 08801-0900			1764		
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/678,693	MURPHY WILLIAM		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tam M. Nguyen	1764		

Advisory Action	10/678,693	3 MURPHY WILLIAM				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tam M. Nguyen	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	-	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on) and the appropriate exter	nsion fee have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS		.				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause			
(a) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	TE below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 8-11, 15-18, 20-23, and 25</u> .	•					
Claim(s) rejected. 1-4, 6-11, 13-16, 20-23, and 23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		-				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fail	Is to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	,			
13. Other:		Tors				
		Tam M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit: 1764				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments of claims 1, 8, 15, and 20 raise new issues that would requrire further consideration and/or search. Therefore, the amendments will not be entered .